Item No. 13.2	Classification Open	Date: 22 April 2013	Meeting Name: Dulwich Community Council
Report title:		Cleaner Greener Safer Revenue Fund 2013/14	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		All wards within Dulwich Community Council	
From:		Stephen Douglass, Head of Community Engagement	

RECOMMENDATION

 That the Dulwich Community Council allocates the £60,000 of the Cleaner, Greener, Safer (CGS) Revenue Fund 2013-14. In addition, there is an under spend from 2012-13 of £108 for all wards to be carried over and £1,170 for East Dulwich ward which was unallocated in 2012-13. The projects to be considered for allocation are set out in Appendix 1.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 2. A Cleaner, Greener, Safer Revenue Fund consisting of £210,000 across the borough, with an allocation of £10,000 per ward, was introduced as part of the budget strategy agreed at the council assembly meeting on the 29 February 2012. At the council assembly meeting which took place on the 27 February 2013, it was furthermore agreed to allocate an additional £10,000 per ward making the total Revenue Fund available of £410,000 across the borough resulting in £20,000 per ward. There was an under spend of £108 from an all wards allocation relating to SNUB Dulwich project in 2012-13, unless otherwise directed this will be added to 2013-14 all wards budget. There is also £1,170 unallocated for East Dulwich ward from 2012-13.
- 3. At the council assembly meeting held on the 29 February 2012, it was agreed that £18,000 be vired from the cleaner greener safer revenue fund reserved for the Dulwich community council, to the environment and leisure public realm budget for the purpose of retaining school crossing patrol services in the Dulwich Village area. Council Assembly was of the view that this was the best way to secure long term funding for the school crossing patrol services. However in accordance with paragraph 7 below the community council must still resolve to make this allocation in the current financial year.
- 4. The aim of this fund is to give community councils decision making powers over significant amounts of revenue funding that they can allocate to meet locally determined priorities. It is anticipated that the availability of the Revenue Fund will enhance and complement the effectiveness of the Capital Fund.
- 5. On 1 March 2012 the Leader of the Council delegated the executive function to each community council to take the Cleaner, Greener, Safer Revenue Funding decisions in their areas.

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

- 6. The community councils will use the criteria set out below for the allocation of this funding.
 - a. Proposals that make an improvement to an area on the basis of making it cleaner, greener or safer or a combination.
 - b. CGS applications from the capital round which were ruled out because they were revenue applications.
 - c. The revenue fund could be used to meet the revenue costs associated with a CGS capital award.
 - d. A community council may choose to allocate some or all of their revenue resources to their CGS capital allocations.
 - e. Subject to the availability of resources, the revenue fund may be used to buy services from the council.
- 7. While the allocation is based on £20,000 per ward, a community council can, if it chooses, decide to aggregate all or part of the funding and spend more than £20,000 per ward.
- 8. Community councils will be free to indicate whether they would like expenditure to be an ongoing commitment over more than one financial year or spending over a fixed timescale for a one-off project. Commitments will be subject to final agreement of the council budget and a decision by each community council on an annual basis.
- 9. As with any executive decision taken by community councils this is subject to the council's existing scrutiny arrangements.

Delivery

10. Once the Community Council has made their selections by the method of their choice they will be designed and delivered as soon as possible in 2013/14. Any under spends or projected overspends will be reported back to community council for resolution or reallocation.

Community Impact Statement

- 11. The roles and functions of community councils include the promotion of involvement of local people in the democratic process. Community Councils take decisions on local matters including environmental improvement and community safety as well as consultation on a wide range of policies and strategies that affect the area.
- 12. An explicit objective within community councils is that they be used to actively engage as widely as possible with, and bring together, Southwark's diverse local communities on issues of shared or mutual interest. The Cleaner Greener Safer programme is an important tool in achieving community participation.
- 13. In fulfilling the above objectives that community councils have of bringing together and involving Southwark's diverse local communities, consideration has also been

given to the council's duty under The Equality Act 2010 which requires the council to have due regard when taking decisions to the need to:

- a. Eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation or other prohibited conduct;
- b. Advance of equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not share it
- c. Foster good relations between those who share a relevant characteristic and those that do not share it.
- 14. Of particular regard are issues of age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation.
- 15. Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity is further defined in S.149 as having due regard to the need of:
 - a. Remove or minimise disadvantages connected with a relevant protected characteristic
 - b. Take steps to meet the different needs of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic
 - c. Encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristic participate in public life or any other activity in which they are under- represented

Resource implications

16. The total cost of the CGS Revenue Fund is part of the Budget process for 2013/14 agreed by Council Assembly. Any costs incurred in implementing this fund will be met within existing resources.

Policy implications

17. The CGS Revenue Fund is fully aligned with the Council's policies toward sustainability, regeneration and community engagement.

Consultation

18. Decisions will reflect longstanding ward priorities and may be complementary to the decisions made in the CGS Capital fund allocation. In this first year of the scheme consultation took place at the Community Council meetings and is therefore now an integral part of the decision making process.

Legal Implications

- 19. The Local Government Act 2000 [as amended] ('the Act') gives the leader the power to delegate any executive function to whoever lawfully can undertake the function. The allocation of the Cleaner, Greener, Safer revenue fund (CGS) is an executive function.
- 20. Community councils are 'area committees' within the meaning of the Act and executive functions can be delegated to them by the leader.
- 21. In allocating funding under the CGS community councils must have regard to the council's equality duties set out in section 149 of the Equality Act 2010. The report author has demonstrated how those duties have been considered in the body of the report at paragraphs 12, 13 and 14 in the Community Impact Statement.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Cleaner Greener Safer Revenue IDM Report	160 Tooley Street	Forid Ahmed 0207 525 5540
Budget Proposals 2013/14	http://moderngov.southwa rk.gov.uk/documents/s35 022/Report%20Policy%2 0and%20Resources%20 Strategy%20201314%20- %20201516.pdf	
Policy and Resources Strategy 2012/13-2014/15 - Revenue budget	http://moderngov.southwa rk.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID= 22918	
Dulwich Community Council meeting minutes – 17/4/2012	http://moderngov.southwa rk.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID= 22333	

APPENDICES

No.	Title
	Dulwich Community Council CGS revenue fund 2013/14 projects for consideration

AUDIT TRAIL

Lead Officer	Forid Ahmed, Community Councils Coordinator					
Report Author	Fitzroy Lewis, Community Council Development Officer					
Version	Final					
Dated	10 April 2013					
Key Decision?	No					
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET MEMBER						
Officer Title		Comments Sought	Comments included			
Director of Legal Services		Yes	Yes			
Finance Director		No	No			
Cabinet Member		No	No			
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team			10 April 2013			